

Math 582

Intro to Set Theory

Lecture 23

Kenneth Harris
kaharri@umich.edu

Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan

March 18, 2009

Axiom 8: Power Set Axiom

Axiom 8: Power Set Axiom:

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z (z \subseteq x \rightarrow z \in y)$$

By applying Comprehension $\{z \mid z \subseteq x\}$ exists.

Definition

The **power set** of a set x is the set containing the subsets of x ,
 $\mathcal{P}(x) = \{z \mid z \subseteq x\}$.

- The Power set axiom was one of Zermelo's original axioms.
- Power set axiom does not follow from the other axioms we have seen so far: we cannot prove that there is an **uncountable set** from Axioms 0-7.
- The Power Set Axiom is necessary for defining \mathbb{R} , so needed for mathematics.

Function Spaces

We can now define function spaces. Note that if $f : A \rightarrow B$ then $f \subseteq A \times B$, so $f \in \mathcal{P}(A \times B)$.

Definition

B^A (or ${}^A B$) is the set of functions f with $\text{dom}(f) = A$ and $\text{ran}(f) \subseteq B$.

Justification. $B^A \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A \times B))$; now, use Comprehension.

- B^A is the standard terminology in mathematics (and used in H+J).
- ${}^A B$ is occasionally used, especially when A, B are ordinals (and ambiguity can ensue.) For example, ${}^3 2$ is a set with 8 functions, but 2^3 is the ordinal 8.

Sequences

Definition

☞ For $\alpha \in \mathbf{ON}$ an α -sequence is a function s with domain α .
We write s_ξ for $s(\xi)$ when $\xi \in \alpha$.

☞ $A^{<\alpha} = \bigcup_{\xi < \alpha} A^\xi$. (Sometimes written ${}^{<\alpha} A$.)

- “Infinite sequences” in calculus are really functions $s : \omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (where we view s_n as $s(n)$.)
- If we view A as an alphabet (of “letters”) then $A^{<\omega}$ is the set of finite strings formed from A . For example, $2^{<\omega}$ is the set of finite bit strings commonly used in theoretical computer science.

General Products

Definition

☞ Let $S = \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ (the **tuple notation** for function used in H+J) be a function with domain I and such that $S(i) = S_i$ for some set S_i . We call such a function S an **indexed system of sets**.

☞ The **product** of an indexed system S is the set

$$\prod S = \{f : I \rightarrow \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i \mid f(i) \in S_i \text{ for each } i \in I\}$$

When we want to make the indexed set I explicit, we write

$$\prod_{i \in I} S_i \quad \text{or} \quad \prod \langle S_i \mid i \in I \rangle.$$

Justification. $\prod S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I \times \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i)$, so that $\prod S \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(I \times \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i))$.

General unions and intersections

→ Indexed system of sets S are commonly used with \bigcup, \bigcap :

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i \quad \text{meaning} \quad \bigcup \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} S_i \quad \text{meaning} \quad \bigcap \{S_i \mid i \in I\}$$

→ For example, in Lecture 3 (slide 27), we introduced **countable** intersections and unions:

$$\bigcup_n A_n \quad \text{written now as} \quad \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$$

$$\bigcap_n A_n \quad \text{written now as} \quad \bigcap_{n \in \omega} A_n$$

Cartesian Products

We did not need the Axiom of Replacement to justify **cartesian products** $A \times B$. (This is important to know historically, since cartesian products were available to Zermelo and early set theorists before Fraenkel introduced the Replacement Axiom.)

☞ Recall, our official definition of ordered pair, (a, b) as $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$.
So, $\{a\}, \{a, b\} \in \mathcal{P}(A \cup B)$ and $(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A \cup B))$;
and by Comprehension

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A \cup B)) \mid a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$$

Countable vs. Uncountable sets

Definition

A set x is **countable** if there is a $f : x \xrightarrow{1-1} \omega$. A set is **uncountable** if there is no such 1-1 function mapping x into ω .

- $\omega + \omega$ is countable: map ω into the even numbers and $\{\omega + n \mid n < \omega\}$ into the odd numbers.
- $\omega \cdot \omega$ is countable: Let p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots be a list of the prime numbers, and map $(m, n) \mapsto p_m^n$. (Recall, $\omega \cdot \omega$ is isomorphic to $\omega \times \omega$ with lexicographic order, from Homework 5.)
- ω^ω is countable: by Exercise 8 from Homework 5 we can think of ω^ω as consisting of functions $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ with finite domain. If $f \in \omega^\omega$ then map $f \mapsto \prod_{i \in \text{dom}(f)} p_i^{f(i)}$. (\prod here is multiplication on ω , not a set of functions ☺.)

$\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is uncountable

☞ Shades of Russell. Actually, Russell's paradox (1903) is based on this argument of Cantor's (1891). The ♥ is a **diagonal argument**.

Theorem

$\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is uncountable.

Proof.

☞ Suppose $h : \mathcal{P}(\omega) \xrightarrow{1-1} \omega$. Define

$$\tau = \{n \mid \exists x (n = h(x) \wedge n \notin x)\}$$

(this makes sense since h is 1-1 and $x \subseteq \omega$.)

☞ Let $n = h(\tau)$. Either $n \in \tau$ or $n \notin \tau$.

☞ If $n \in \tau$ then since $n = h(\tau)$, $n \notin \tau$.

☞ So, $n \notin \tau$. But, since $n = h(\tau)$, $n \in \tau$. ✗

✓ Therefore, there can be no 1-1 function from $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ into ω . □