

MATH 582 HOMEWORK

WEEK 14

Winter, 2009

Due April 20

INTRODUCTION

This is a full complement of problems. Let your time and interests dictate how much you choose to do. The most important problems (and most interesting I hope) are problems 6-9 on equivalents of (CH). Give these a try first. Problems 1-5 are more straightforward applications in cardinal arithmetic.

CARDINAL ARITHMETIC

Exercise 1. Prove the following equations.

(a) $\prod_{i \in I} (\kappa^{\lambda_i}) = \kappa^{\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i}$.

(b) $(\prod_{i \in I} \kappa_i)^\lambda = \prod_{i \in I} \kappa_i^\lambda$.

Hint. Generalize the argument of Lecture 24, slide 15 or H+J Theorem 5.1.7, which is the case for I finite.

Exercise 2. If \aleph_α is strongly inaccessible and $\beta < \alpha$, then $\aleph_\alpha^{\aleph_\beta} = \aleph_\alpha$. (See Lecture 32, slide 12, or H+J page 168, for the definition of strongly inaccessible.)

Exercise 3. Let κ be an infinite cardinal. Then κ can be partitioned into a family of sets $\{A_\eta \mid \eta < \kappa\}$ which are each unbounded in κ , mutually disjoint and with $\kappa = \cup_{\eta < \kappa} A_\eta$.

Hint. Think about the construction in the theorem that $\kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa$ from Lecture 27.

Exercise 4. Let κ be an infinite cardinal and suppose $\langle \kappa_\xi \mid \xi < cf(\kappa) \rangle$ is a strictly increasing cofinal sequence of cardinals with $\kappa = \sup_{\xi < cf(\kappa)} \kappa_\xi$ and $\kappa_0 > 0$. Show that

$$\kappa^{cf(\kappa)} = \prod_{\xi < cf(\kappa)} \kappa_\xi.$$

Hint. From the previous problem there is a family of sets $\{A_\eta \mid \eta < cf(\kappa)\}$ which partitions $cf(\kappa)$. Then show

$$\kappa^{cf(\kappa)} \leq \prod_{\eta < cf(\kappa)} \left(\sum_{\xi \in A_\eta} \kappa_\xi \right) \leq \prod_{\eta < cf(\kappa)} \left(\prod_{\xi \in I_\eta} \kappa_\xi \right) \leq \prod_{\eta < cf(\kappa)} \kappa_\xi \leq \kappa^{cf(\kappa)}$$

Exercise 5. If $2^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_2$, then $\aleph_{\omega}^{\aleph_0} \neq \aleph_{\omega_1}$.

Hint. Use Bernstein-Hausdorff-Tarski to show $\aleph_n^{\aleph_1} = \aleph_n$ for $n \geq 2$. Then conclude $\aleph_{\omega}^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_{\omega_1}$. Alternatively, you can conclude this from exercise 9.3.7 in H+J whose proof is analogous to Bernstein's Theorem of Lecture 33, slide 10.

EQUIVALENTS TO THE CONTINUUM HYPOTHESIS

Exercise 6. Prove that the Continuum Hypothesis (CH) is equivalent to the statement:

$\mathbb{R}^2 = A \cup B$ where A intersects every horizontal line and B intersects every vertical line in countably many points.

This decomposition of the plane is called the Sierpinski Decomposition. *Hint.* (\Rightarrow): (CH) implies $|\mathbb{R}| = \aleph_1$, so write \mathbb{R} as $\{r_{\xi} \mid \xi < \omega_1\}$. Let $(r_{\xi}, r_{\eta}) \in A$ iff $\xi < \eta$. (\Leftarrow): Assume (CH) fails, so that $|\mathbb{R}| \geq \omega_2$, but that there exists a Sierpinski decomposition. Fix a set $U \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $|U| = \omega_1$. Show that for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a $u \in U$ with $(r, u) \in A$; then, show that there is a $u \in U$ with $\{(r, u) \in A \mid r \in \mathbb{R}\}$ uncountable.

Exercise 7. Chris Freiling proposed the following as an "axiom" (a statement which ought to be taken as true):

For every function F mapping reals in the unit interval $[0, 1]$ to countable subsets of $[0, 1]$, there exists an x and y such that $x \notin F(y)$ and $y \notin F(x)$.

Show that Freiling's axiom is equivalent to the negation of (CH). *Hint.* Show the contrapositive. The argument is similar to that in Problem 6. This equivalence is actually due to Sierpinski; Freiling uses the intuitive plausibility of the statement to argue against the Continuum Hypothesis.

Exercise 8. Prove that the Continuum Hypothesis (CH) is equivalent to the statement:

There is a decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^3 = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$ such that if L is a line parallel to the x_i -axis then $L \cap A_i$ is finite.

Hint. (\Rightarrow): Fix $\phi_{\gamma} : \gamma + 1 \xrightarrow{1-1} \omega$. Let $\mathbb{R} = \{r_{\xi} \mid \xi < \omega_1\}$. For any triple (x_1, x_2, x_3) where the entries are $r_{\alpha}, r_{\beta}, r_{\gamma}$ (in some order), if $\alpha, \beta \leq \gamma$ and $\phi_{\gamma}(\alpha) \leq \phi_{\gamma}(\beta)$ then put $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in A_i$ where $x_i = r_{\alpha}$. (\Leftarrow): Suppose $|\mathbb{R}| \geq \aleph_2$, but there is a decomposition as in the statement. Fix $U, V, W \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $|U| = \aleph_0$, $|V| = \aleph_1$ and $|W| = \aleph_2$. Show that there is

a $c \in W$ such that there are no $u \in U, v \in V$ with $(u, v, c) \in A_3$. Fix c , and show that there is a $b \in V$ such that for no $u \in U$ is $(u, b, c) \in A_2$. Fix such a b , and show that there is an $a \in U$ with $(a, b, c) \notin A_1$.

Exercise 9. Here is an alternative to Freiling's Axiom in Problem 7 which seems intuitively plausible:

For every function F mapping unordered pairs of reals in the unit interval $[0, 1]$ to finite subsets of $[0, 1]$, there exists reals x, y and z such that $x \notin F(\{y, z\})$ and $y \notin F(\{x, z\})$ and $z \notin F(\{x, y\})$.

Show that this statement is equivalent to the negation of (CH). Hint. Show the contrapositive. The argument is similar to that in Problem 8.